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Welcome Message

We welcome you to the 8th World Conference on Research Integrity, from June 2 to 5, 2024, in Athens, Greece. The biannual WCRIs cater for all disciplinary fields, all professional ranks, and all career stages, and involve all stakeholders in research integrity, including universities, research institutes, research funders, publishers, and governments. The conferences are lively and interactive, and the programme consists of a mix of research findings, reflection and policy development. Conferences typically produce an influential policy statement such as the Singapore Statement, the Hong Kong Principles and the Cape Town Statement.

WCRIs take a strong view on ethnic, gender, geographical, disciplinary and career stage diversity, and ensure that this is reflected in the programme, the keynote speakers, the committee members, and the participants. We give special attention to early career professionals and we organise a doctoral forum, workshops, and meet-the-expert sessions for them during the conference.

Each WCRI emphasises a specific theme, but all cater for the whole range of research integrity issues and responsible research practices. This means that also the driving forces of the individual and collective behaviour of researchers that influence research integrity will get substantial attention. Specifically these driving forces concern the skills and virtuousness of the individual, the local research climate, and the incentives of the research system at large. The 8th WCRI will put thematic emphasis on

Catalysing the translation of research into trustworthy policy and innovation.

We welcome you to Athens!

The conference co-chairs

Costas Charitidis
Greece

Lex Bouter
The Netherlands

Maura Hiney
Ireland
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Programme Overview

SUNDAY
June 2, 2024

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>MC2</th>
<th>MC3</th>
<th>MC3.2</th>
<th>MC3.3</th>
<th>MC3.4</th>
<th>Banqueting Hall</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>09:00–12:00</td>
<td>Pre-conference Workshop 4: Building institutional support for healthy authorship practices and dispute resolution</td>
<td>Pre-conference Workshop 2 (Part 1): Assessing publication research integrity - understanding the key techniques</td>
<td>Pre-conference Workshop 3: NERQ in a global perspective: challenges and opportunities for education</td>
<td>Pre-conference Workshop 1A: Doctoral Forum (Part 1)</td>
<td>Pre-conference Workshop 1B: Doctoral Forum (Part 1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:00–13:00</td>
<td>LUNCH BREAK</td>
<td>LUNCH BREAK</td>
<td>LUNCH BREAK</td>
<td>LUNCH BREAK</td>
<td>LUNCH BREAK</td>
<td>LUNCH BREAK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13:00–16:00</td>
<td>Pre-conference Workshop 6: Leadership capacity building for early career researchers and professionals in research integrity</td>
<td>Pre-conference Workshop 2 (Part 2): Assessing publication research integrity - understanding the key techniques</td>
<td>Pre-conference Workshop 5: Towards an equitable, inclusive, and human-centered development, production and use of extended reality technologies</td>
<td>Pre-conference Workshop 1A: Doctoral Forum (Part 2)</td>
<td>Pre-conference Workshop 1B: Doctoral Forum (Part 2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16:00–16:30</td>
<td>BREAK</td>
<td>BREAK</td>
<td>BREAK</td>
<td>BREAK</td>
<td>BREAK</td>
<td>BREAK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16:30–17:30</td>
<td>Opening Ceremony</td>
<td>Opening Ceremony</td>
<td>Opening Ceremony</td>
<td>Opening Ceremony</td>
<td>Opening Ceremony</td>
<td>Opening Ceremony</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17:30–19:00</td>
<td>Welcome Reception</td>
<td>Welcome Reception</td>
<td>Welcome Reception</td>
<td>Welcome Reception</td>
<td>Welcome Reception</td>
<td>Welcome Reception</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Programme Overview

**MONDAY**

**June 3, 2024**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Banqueting Hall</th>
<th>Skalkotas Hall</th>
<th>MC2</th>
<th>MC3</th>
<th>MC3.2</th>
<th>MC3.3</th>
<th>MC3.4</th>
<th>Banqueting Foyer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>08:30–10:00</strong></td>
<td>Plenary A: The effects of Research Integrity on innovation and policy making</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>10:00–10:30</strong></td>
<td><strong>BREAK</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>10:30–12:00</strong></td>
<td>Symposium 2: The impact of generative AI on integrity in education, research, and the translation of research into policy</td>
<td>Symposium 1: Leadership in promoting a research culture with integrity in institutions</td>
<td>Focus Track (Part 1): Catalysing the translation of research into trustworthy policy and innovation</td>
<td>Oral Presentations 1</td>
<td>Oral Presentations 2</td>
<td>Oral Presentations 3</td>
<td>Oral Presentations 4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>12:00–13:00</strong></td>
<td>Lunch &amp; Poster Walks I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>13:00–15:30</strong></td>
<td>Plenary B: The future(s) of reproducibility: how reproducibility reform improves research integrity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>15:00–15:30</strong></td>
<td><strong>BREAK</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>16:30–17:00</strong></td>
<td><strong>BREAK</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>17:00–18:30</strong></td>
<td>Symposium 3: Implications of research integrity for public trust in academic research</td>
<td>Symposium 4: A snapshot of knowledge on research integrity from research presented in the doctoral forum of the world conferences: a bird’s eye view on the findings</td>
<td>Symposium 5: Leveraging policies to influence and enhance responsible conduct of research (RCR)</td>
<td>Oral Presentations 12</td>
<td>Oral Presentations 13</td>
<td>Oral Presentations 14</td>
<td>Oral Presentations 15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>18:30–19:30</strong></td>
<td>Socratic dialogues between early career researchers and professionals</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Programme Overview

### TUESDAY

**June 4, 2024**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>MC2</th>
<th>MC3</th>
<th>MC3.2</th>
<th>MC3.3</th>
<th>MC3.4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>08:30–10:00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:00–10:30</td>
<td><strong>BREAK</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:30–12:00</td>
<td>Symposium 6:</td>
<td>Focus Track</td>
<td>Symposium 7:</td>
<td>Oral Presentations 16</td>
<td>Oral Presentations 17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Research integrity:</td>
<td>(Part 2): Catalysing</td>
<td>The intersection</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>improving training</td>
<td>the translation of</td>
<td>of research integrity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>and trainer quality</td>
<td>research into</td>
<td>and academic integrity:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>trustworthy policy</td>
<td>multi-country</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>and innovation</td>
<td>perspectives</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:00–13:30</td>
<td>Lunch &amp; Poster Walks II</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13:30–15:00</td>
<td>Plenary F:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Perspectives on</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Research Integrity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>and Generative</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Artificial Intelligence</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15:00–15:30</td>
<td><strong>BREAK</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16:30–17:00</td>
<td><strong>BREAK</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Oral Presentations 25</td>
<td>Oral Presentations 26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17:00–18:30</td>
<td>Steneck-Mayer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lecture</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18:30–19:15</td>
<td>Conference Dinner @Gazarte</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(by ticket only)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19:00–23:00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Event</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>MC2</td>
<td>MC3</td>
<td>MC3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08:30</td>
<td>Plenary E: Tackling racial and ethnic bias when translating research into policy</td>
<td>Banqueting Hall</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:00</td>
<td>BREAK</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:00</td>
<td>Symposium 8: Toward responsible clinical trial data sharing practices</td>
<td>Skalkotas Hall</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:30</td>
<td>Symposium 9: A policy agenda to address industry influence on research integrity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:30</td>
<td>Symposium 10: Governance of research integrity in the era of open science</td>
<td></td>
<td>Oral Presentations 27</td>
<td>Oral Presentations 28</td>
<td>Oral Presentations 29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:00</td>
<td>BREAK</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:00</td>
<td>LUNCH BREAK</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13:00</td>
<td>Plenary F: Perspectives on Research Integrity and Generative Artificial Intelligence</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14:30</td>
<td>BREAK</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15:00</td>
<td>Oral Presentations 31</td>
<td></td>
<td>Oral Presentations 32</td>
<td>Oral Presentations 33</td>
<td>Oral Presentations 34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16:00</td>
<td>BREAK</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16:00</td>
<td>Concluding Plenary</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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WEDNESDAY
June 5, 2024
Scientific Programme

SUNDAY June 2, 2024 .................................................. 14
MONDAY June 3, 2024 .................................................. 16
TUESDAY June 4, 2024 .................................................. 30
WEDNESDAY June 5, 2024 ........................................... 41
Pre-conference Workshop 4: Building institutional support for healthy authorship practices and dispute resolution
Chair: Lisa Rasmussen
Contributors: Elise Demeter, Katherine Hall-Hertel, Holly Holladay-Sandidge

Pre-conference Workshop 2 (Part 1): Assessing publication research integrity - understanding the key techniques
Chair: Alison Avenell
- Introduce paper mills, checking sequences with Seek & Blastn
  Jennifer Byrne
- Introduce the ‘REAPPRAISED’ checklist for evaluation of publication integrity and basic statistical techniques, RIA and TRACT and other checklists
  Alison Avenell
- Introduce image screening as part of decision-making pre- and post-publication, common problems that would render a manuscript/paper questionable
  Jana Christopher, Elisabeth Bik

Pre-conference Workshop 3: NERQ in a global perspective: challenges and opportunities for education
Chair: Julia Prieß-Buchheit
Contributors: Michiel De Boer, Simson Mwale, Mariëtte Van Den Hoven

Pre-conference Workshop 1A: Doctoral Forum (Part 1)
Chair: Lex Bouter
Contributor: Tamarinde Haven

Pre-conference Workshop 1B: Doctoral Forum (Part 1)
Chair: Ana Marušić
Contributor: Gowri Gopalakrishna

LUNCH BREAK

Pre-conference Workshop 6: Leadership capacity building for early career researchers and professionals in research integrity
Chair: De-Ming Chau
Contributors: Paula Saner, Joeri Tijdink
SUNDAY
June 2, 2024

Scientific Programme

MC3 Hall
13:00 – 16:00
Pre-conference Workshop 2 (Part 2): Assessing publication research integrity - understanding the key techniques
Chair: Alison Avenell

- Demonstrate and try out ‘REAPPRAISED’ checklist for evaluation of publication integrity; together with basic statistical techniques
- Alison Avenell
- Grading system for image manipulation, handling of issues found
  Jana Christopher, Elisabeth Bik

MC3.2 Hall
13:00 – 16:00
Pre-conference Workshop 5: Towards an equitable, inclusive, and human-centered development, production and use of extended reality technologies
Chair: Rigmor C. Baraas
Contributor: Rosemarie De La Cruz Bernabe

MC3.3 Hall
13:00 – 16:00
Pre-conference Workshop 1A: Doctoral Forum (Part 2)
Chair: Lex Bouter
Contributor: Tamarinde Haven

MC3.4 Hall
13:00 – 16:00
Pre-conference Workshop 1B: Doctoral Forum (Part 2)
Chair: Ana Marušić
Contributor: Gowri Gopalakrishna

16:00 – 16:30 BREAK

Banqueting Hall
16:30 – 17:30 Opening Plenary Ceremony

- Welcome addresses
- Keynote speech: Excellence versus integrity in research - the case of Oedipus (Jan Helge Solbakk)
- Excerpts from Oedipus Rex and Oedipus at Colonus in modern Greek, with translation in English
- Spiros Lambrou’s childrens choir
## Scientific Programme

### MONDAY

**June 3, 2024**

### Banqueting Hall

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Session</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 08:30 | Plenary A: The effects of Research Integrity on innovation and policy making  
Chair: Panagiotis Kavouras |
| 09:00 | A Quality System approach to Research Integrity  
Anja Gilis |
| 09:20 | Cautionary tales from Metascience  
Daniele Fanelli |
| 09:40 | Research integrity at the interface with policy-making  
Theodoros Karapiperis |

### MC2 Hall

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Session</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 10:30 | Focus Track (Part 1): Catalysing the translation of research into trustworthy policy and innovation  
Chair: Daniel Barr |

### Skalkotas Hall

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Session</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 10:30 | Symposium 1: Leadership in promoting a research culture with integrity in institutions  
Moderator: Tamarinde L. Haven  
Contributors: Seán Lacey, Dena Plemmons, Bob Siegerink |

### Banqueting Hall

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Session</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 10:30 | Symposium 2: The impact of generative AI on integrity in education, research, and the translation of research into policy  
Chair: Sonja Bjelobaba |
| 10:45 | Brief overview of the symposium’s objectives and setting the scene  
Sonja Bjelobaba |
| 11:00 | Presentation on recent developments and areas of tension in AI  
Sarah Elaine Eaton |
| 11:15 | Review of current policies in publishing + policy – areas of best practice  
D.J. Anning |
| 11:30 | Deep dive into generative AI and large language models  
Tomáš Foltýnek |
| 11:45 | Discussion  
Audience Q & A |

### MC3 Hall

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Session</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 10:30 | Oral Presentations 1  
Chair: Anna Abalkina |
| 10:30 | OP01.1 The Next 10 Years at the U.S. Office of Research Integrity: Joining the International Research Community March into a New Era  
Sheila Garrity |
| 10:30 | OP01.2 Implementing a national survey on research misconducts: how does data collection lead to standardization of norms?  
Carole Chapin |

---
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**MONDAY**  
**June 3, 2024**

**Scientific Programme**

**PC01.3**  
Equity and Health Research Priority Setting: Developing WHO Guidance  
Katherine Littler

**OP01.4**  
Key aspects of implementing parallel-arm cluster randomized trials in low- and middle-income countries: a review of 300 trials published 2017-2022  
Cory Goldstein

**OP01.5**  
Stakeholders’ attitudes and perceived ethical challenges of returning individual pharmacogenomics research results among people living with HIV (PLHIV)  
Sylvia Nabukenya

**OP01.6**  
Future Proofing Research Integrity Post COVID19 Pandemic  
Wendy Lipworth

**MC3.2 Hall**

**Oral Presentations 2**  
Chair: Danny Chan

**OP02.1**  
The long road from research misconduct to disciplinary sanction. A 30-year review of case law in French higher education  
Olivier Leclerc, Nicolas Klausser

**OP02.2**  
25 years of experience with the Ombudssystem and Ombudspersons in Germany – what has been achieved and what still needs to be achieved?  
Kirsten Huettemann

**OP02.3**  
In favour for a responsible and ethical research: the French national research funding agency (ANR) evaluation criterion on sex and/or gender dimension in research content  
Laurence Guyard

**OP02.4**  
On the contractual and social legitimacy of research integrity offices in small research ecosystems: experiences and recommendations from the evolution of the Luxembourg Agency for Research Integrity  
Tom Lindemann

**OP02.5**  
Transition to Open Science. Advances in integrity and reproducibility of research in the Spanish university system  
Fernando Casani

**OP02.6**  
Creating a Research Integrity Code of Conduct for East and Sub-Saharan Africa – A Scoping Review and Ethical Analysis  
Kirsten Reijbroek

**MC3.3 Hall**

**Oral Presentations 3**  
Chair: Krista Varantola

**OP03.1**  
Opportunities and challenges in research misconduct proceedings, an ORI’s perspective  
Anuj Sharma

**OP03.2**  
Knowledge, attitudes, practice, and environment. Summary of ten years of studies of scientific integrity in Norway  
Bjørn Hofmann

**OP03.3**  
Supporting integrity of the scholarly record: Our commitment to curation and selectivity in the Web of Science  
Anna Treadway

**OP03.4**  
Sabine Chai
Scientific Programme

MONDAY
June 3, 2024

MC3.4 Hall
10:30 – 12:00
Oral Presentations 4
Chair: Mariëtte Van Den Hoven

OP04.1
An easy-to-use taxonomy for research integrity training
Mariëtte Van Den Hoven

OP04.2
Long term outcome assessment of a training in responsible research practices
Silke Kniffert

OP04.3
Over Two Decades of RCR Programs: What’s changed, and does it resonate within the international context?
Debra Schaller-Demers

OP04.4
Surveying the provision of research integrity training in Australia, the UK and the USA
Ed Gerstner

OP04.5
Teaching research integrity sustainably - is it possible?
Michael Gommel

OP04.6
Aligning Research Integrity with the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) in Undergraduate Programs: Challenges and Solutions
Sophia Jui-An Pan

Lunch & Poster Walk I
12:30 – 13:30
Poster Walk Group 01

PP-002
Strategies for Effective Research Management and Administration to Support Current and Future Research Endeavours in Botswana
Ayodeji Michael Obadire

PP-004
The adverse impacts of research malpractice
Daniel Pizzolato

PP-006
Time and research integrity: Researchers’ experience of time, speed and acceleration in academia
Mads P. Sørensen

PP-008
How is research ethics and integrity (REI) leadership manifested in national surveys? Cases of Estonia, Finland, Norway and the Netherlands
Anu Tammeleht

PP-010
Assessment of Research Integrity Environment at Makerere University College of Health Sciences
Aida Nakawunde

PP-012
Reflecting on the Impact of a Research Integrity Work Package in a Dementia Research Program: Qualitative Analysis
Jenny T van der Steen

PP-014
Using vignettes for assessing ethical sensitivity in national research ethics and integrity study
Mari-liisa Parder

PP-016
Assessing institutional frameworks for ensuring research integrity in Southern Africa; Lessons from selected Zimbabwean Universities
Munyayiwashe Shumba
| PP-018 | Café culture at the PRBB: making academia great again | Maruxa Martinez |
| PP-020 | Perception of the Climate of Research Integrity in the National Health Sciences University, University of the Philippines Manila (Quantitative Phase) | Jean Anne Toral |
| PP-022 | Research culture challenges among early career researchers: a qualitative study | Sonya Deschenes |

**12:30 – 13:30**

**Poster Walk Group 02**

| PP-024 | Evaluating the development of research ethics and integrity competencies – what can we learn from monitoring learning diaries kept during a training programme? | Anu Tammeleht |
| PP-026 | Ethical and Responsible Research Training for the Humanities | David Wright |
| PP-028 | “What I did…”: Using local dilemmas to enhance engagement, relevance, and discussion in Responsible Conduct of Research courses | Katrine Astrup Jacobsen |
| PP-030 | INTEGRITY: results from a recent EU project | Mads Paludan Goddiksen |
| PP-032 | Research Integrity and Ethics training from undergraduate to PhD students – an over 20 years’ experience from the Faculty of Medicine and Life Sciences of University Pompeu Fabra in Barcelona | Eva Casamitjana-Martínez |
| PP-034 | A Comprehensive Approach: Research Integrity training at all levels in a biomedical research institute | Eleanor Adams |
| PP-036 | Path2Integrity - Horizon2020 project | Julia Prieß-Buchheit |
| PP-038 | Lack of scientific integrity in Mexican universities | Bernardo García Camino |
| PP-040 | Train the Trainer course: How to ensure the quality of teaching RI and GSP in a blended learning format for doctoral students, a case study | Ailyn Bornmüller |
| PP-042 | Research Methodology Education: A cross-sectional survey on Practices and Attitudes across the European Context | Michiel De Boer |
| PP-044 | The RCR reflection model to stimulate responsible conduct of research | Mariëtte Van Den Hoven |

**12:30 – 13:30**

**Poster Walk Group 03**

| PP-046 | The effectiveness of Research Data Management (RDM) Online training at Macquarie University | Paul Sou |
| PP-048 | BEYOND BAD APPLES: Towards a Behavioral and Evidence-Based Approach to Promote Research Ethics and Research Integrity in Europe (BEYOND) | Anni Sairio |
| PP-050 | Research Integrity Training for Students (RITS) – a pilot among undergraduate students in Nursing | Susan M J Berentsen |
The VERITIES Initiative: Virtue-based Education for Responsibility & Integrity To Increase Excellence in STEM
Robert Pennock

Assessing the Impact of Research Integrity Education Initiatives: A Study of UP Manila Office of Research Integrity’s Caravans and Webinars
Rufus Thomas Adducul

Shedding light on a “hidden curriculum”: Designing engaging online content for graduate students
Holly Holladay-Sandidge

Co-designing tools to empower funders for improved clinical trial transparency
Maia Salholz-Hillel

Open science interventions proposed or implemented to assess researcher impact: a scoping review
Anna Catharina Vieira Armond

An ethico-legal analysis of broad consent for biobank research in South Africa: Towards an enabling framework
Mantombi Maseme

Publication Bias in Oral Health RCTs. What factors affect statistical significance of effect estimates?
Despina Koletsi

How connected are research data management and research integrity?
Linda Zollitsch

Poster Walk Group 04

Non-verifiable cell lines in cancer research papers describing human gene research
Jennifer Byrne

Corpus Creation and Detection of CONSORT Elements in Randomized Controlled Trials
Joshua Fisher

Registered Reports Funding Partnerships: lessons from the UK’s largest RRFP consortium to improve research quality, transparency and reproducibility
Jackie Thompson

Improving interoperability and addressing data sharing concerns: lessons from Cochrane reviews
Steph Grohmann

Proposing a New RO-Crate Profile for Enhanced Reproducibility in Computational Experiments
Eleni Adamidi

Do authors care about abstracts of clinical trials? Completeness of reporting in abstracts of dental medicine randomized clinical trials published in high-ranking dental medicine journals, 2015-2023
Nensi Bralić

What is the preferred future of reproducibility: Results from 4 future study workshops
Barbara Leitner

The XR4HUMAN project: For equitable, inclusive, and human-centered extended reality technologies
Panagiotis Kavouras

Balancing Innovation and Research Integrity in Translational Tissue Engineering: A Focus on 3D Printed Scaffolds
Christina Kaliampakou

User testing the RIVER recommendations: a qualitative study
Nathalie Percie du Sert
## Scientific Programme

### MONDAY

**June 3, 2024**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Poster Walk Group 05</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 12:30 – 13:30   | Retraction due to informed consent: an empirical study using the Retraction Watch Database  
Marco Annoni               |
|                 | ROSIE project – Responsible Open Science in Europe                                   
Panagiotis Kavouras     |
|                 | Attempts to standardize ethical review for clinical research in Japan – Role of Certified Research Ethics Professionals (CReP)  
Yusuke Ebana             |
|                 | The Open Science Learning Gate                                                      
Marie Alavi              |
|                 | Effectiveness of Institutional Review Boards (IRBs) in Promoting Research Integrity and Accountability  
Linda Esi Aduku        |
|                 | The value of the appeals process to evaluate the efficacy of a newly developed integrated research integrity management system: North-West university, South Africa  
Minrie Greeff            |
|                 | Integrating Integrity: A framework and assessment methodology for University integrity and ethics structures, in an evolving research landscape  
Liam Mckervey          |
|                 | Reproducibility by Design: Creating a Quality Assurance Framework for Academic Life Sciences  
Fiona Booth             |
|                 | Ethical Governance in Chinese Universities: An Overview of Research Ethics Committees  
Dan Li                  |
|                 | Comparison of experiences of two new research integrity advisers                     
Eleanor Adams           |
|                 | Ethics managers in research projects: Roles, responsibilities and experiences         
Tilemahos Efthimiadis    |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Poster Walk Group 06</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 12:30 – 13:30   | Conflict of Interest: A data driven approach to categorisation of COI statements  
Pritha Sarkar    |
|                 | Development of Thailand Research Integrity Network (TH-RIN)                          
Rattanapan Phoomirat |
|                 | Move from Guidelines to Platforms in Post-Pandemic Research Ethics: Call for Universal Registration and Accreditation Platforms  
Ehsan Shamsi Gooshki |
|                 | Instructing corporate researchers and leadership on ethics and good research practices  
Niek Brunsvedel    |
|                 | The Nexus between Obligatory Monitoring by Research Ethics Committees and Researcher Integrity at South African Universities  
Shaun Schoeman     |
|                 | Prevention of co-authorship conflicts in health and medicine                          
Camilla Bø Iversen  |
|                 | Ranking the norms of scientific conduct: a numerical appraisal of chapter 3 of the Dutch Code of Scientific Conduct in a cross-sectional survey study  
Lodewijk Pet        |
|                 | PREPARED                                                                               
Kalle Videnoja     |
# Scientific Programme

**MONDAY**  
June 3, 2024

| PP-128 | National RI and RE resources: Ensuring responsible and trustworthy research  
Lene Os Johannessen |
| PP-130 | Scientific understanding and provision of an enhanced and robust monitoring system for RRI (SUPER MoRRI): A project presentation  
Hendrik Berghäuser |
| PP-132 | How do research ethics review structures at a UK and South African university shape REC members’ agency to facilitate ethical and responsible research?: A Critical Discourse Analysis  
Clarissa Robertson |

**12:30 – 13:30 Poster Walk Group 07**

| PP-134 | Bioethics and scientific integrity in assessing the quality of clinical research data: Scoping Review Protocol  
Thays Morais |
| PP-136 | Public Integrity Resources adapted in a Research Integrity Program for Scientific Institutions – An innovative proposal in development  
Bráulio Machado |
| PP-138 | The teaching-learning process about ethics and scientific integrity in the light of film narratives  
Daniel Marcus De Sousa Santos |
| PP-140 | Preserving the Integrity of the Scholarly Record: Insights from the Crossref Community  
Madhura Amdekar |
| PP-142 | From the Researcher to the Integrity of Knowledge Production  
Sven Ulpts |
| PP-144 | Human Intelligence and AI Tools for Maintaining Research Integrity in the Age of Generative AI  
Shilpi Mehra |
| PP-146 | Evaluating Severity in Cases of Research Misconduct: Finland’s new RI-Guidelines  
Eero Kaila |
| PP-148 | Improving Research Ethics Expertise and Competences to Ensure Reliability and Trust in Science (irecs)  
Sandra Scholl |
| PP-150 | Research Ethics and Research Integrity challenges in accelerated clinical trials, an interview study  
Cláudia Pallísé Pérelló |
| PP-152 | EU-funded VERITY project: Towards a new ecosystem of trust in science  
Agata Gurzawska |

**12:30 – 13:30 Poster Walk Group 08**

| PP-154 | Research Integrity in University Foundational Documents in developed and developing countries  
Mariela Dejo |
| PP-156 | About re4green  
Dirk Lanzerath |
| PP-158 | Scientific Integrity activities at the US Department of Agriculture  
William Trenkle |
| PP-160 | Perceptions of Research Integrity among Professionals at the Oswaldo Cruz Foundation: Insights from a Brazilian Public Research Institution  
Carmen Penido |
| PP-162 | The ethics associated with reusing patient data: An assessment of patient registries  
Olmo van den Akker |
## Scientific Programme

**MONDAY**

**June 3, 2024**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Session</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Presenter(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PP-168</td>
<td>20 years of commitment to integrity. The weight of the performative discourse of the International Institute for Research and Action on Fraud and Plagiarism in Academia (IRAFPA): Coimbra 2024 Conference, LinkedIn and Newsletter, Web-TV, Summer Schools, scientific publications, books, etc.</td>
<td>Michelle Bergadaà</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP-170</td>
<td>The European Code of Conduct for Research Integrity - 2023 Revised Edition</td>
<td>Mathijs Vleugel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP-172</td>
<td>Improving the integrity of research data: building an institutional data archive</td>
<td>Minna Ventsel</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**12:30 – 13:30**

**Poster Walk Group 09**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Session</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Presenter(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PP-174</td>
<td>Research Data Management - Progress in Canada</td>
<td>Trevor Davis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP-176</td>
<td>Open Data Commons for Stroke: a free community platform to share research data and facilitate synthesis</td>
<td>Sarah McCann</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP-178</td>
<td>A Data Management Plan Template for Randomized Clinical Trials</td>
<td>Anna Catharina Vieira Armond</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP-182</td>
<td>iRISE- improving Reproducibility in SciencE</td>
<td>Sarah McCann</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP-184</td>
<td>Unveiling the shadows: Glimpse into Indian Researcher’s perspective on publishing negative results</td>
<td>Bhakti Sadhu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP-186</td>
<td>Facilitating the publishing of reproducible and high-quality research</td>
<td>Catherine Winchester</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP-188</td>
<td>Constraints, consequences and barriers experienced by researchers’ engagement in the promotion of science for the use in public policy: A qualitative exploration of Australian researchers</td>
<td>Alison Sheaves</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP-190</td>
<td>Evaluation of Publication Ethics Knowledge, Practice, and Attitude Among Faculty Members in Iranian Universities of Medical Sciences</td>
<td>Ehsan Shamsi Gooshki</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP-192</td>
<td>The fate of rejected manuscripts: a cross-sectional assessment of different biomedical disciplines</td>
<td>Clovis Faggion</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**12:30 – 13:30**

**Poster Walk Group 10**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Session</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Presenter(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PP-194</td>
<td>Identifying Preferences for a Journal Transparency Tool: A Delphi Survey of the Scholarly Publishing Community</td>
<td>Jeremy Ng</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP-196</td>
<td>Drivers of Research Misconducts and Strategies to Foster Thriving Research Integrity among Young Scholars in Nigeria</td>
<td>Rebecca Oke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP-198</td>
<td>Research Misconduct in China: An Analysis from Institutional and Emotional Perspectives</td>
<td>Xinqu Zhang</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP-200</td>
<td>Tackling the flood of paper mill manuscripts: a journal perspective</td>
<td>Manu Jain Goyal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP-202</td>
<td>A threat to research integrity: A mapping overview of studies assessing predatory journals and conferences within the biomedical sciences</td>
<td>Carla Brigitte Susan Kohl</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Scientific Programme

**MONDAY**

**June 3, 2024**

| PP-204  | Concerns about data integrity across 263 papers by one author  
|         | Jeremy Nielsen |
| PP-206  | A critical review of the role of the editor-in-chief and associate publisher in a case of alleged identity stealing that is more than a dispute between authors  
|         | Helmut Schift |
| PP-208  | Identifying Fabricated Networks within Authorship-for-Sale Enterprises  
|         | Leslie McIntosh |
| PP-210  | Knowledge, practice and attitude towards research among undergraduate medical students in India  
|         | Naveena S R |
| PP-212  | Toward identifying mis-citations in scientific papers  
|         | Qinyue Liu |

**Banqueting Hall**

13:30 – 15:00  
**Plenary B: The future(s) of reproducibility: how reproducibility reform improves research integrity**  
Chair: Lex Bouter

- Building a research culture that is open, rigorous, and reproducible  
  Timothy Errington
- The Future(s) of reproducibility from different disciplinary contexts  
  Joeri Tijdink
- The future of reproducibility from a publishers perspective  
  Liz Allen

15:00 – 15:30  
**BREAK**

**Skalkotas Hall**

15:30 – 16:30  
**Oral Presentations 5**  
Chair: Eleni Spyrakou

- **OP05.1**  
  Ensuring Good Research Practices in a Time of Generative AI  
  Niek Brunsveld

- **OP05.2**  
  Research Ethics for Artificial Intelligence: The Twofold Need for Compliance Requirements and for an Open Process of Reflection and Attention  
  Anais Ressegueur

- **OP05.4**  
  The impact of generative models for text and image creation on good research practice and on research funding  
  Sonja Ochsenfeld-Repp

15:30 – 16:30  
**Oral Presentations 6**  
Chair: Mai Har Sham

- **OP06.1**  
  Challenges posed by hijacked journals in Scopus  
  Anna Abalkina

- **OP06.2**  
  Large-scale Flagging of Research Integrity Misconduct at Elsevier  
  Yury Kashnitsky
MONDAY
June 3, 2024
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OP06.3  From reactivity to proactivity: assessing proactive ethical and integrity guidelines structures of journals with recurrent retractions
Karen Santos-d’Amorim

OP06.4  Perception of research staff on the ethical structure of a university: knowledge, use and satisfaction
Laura Bernal Sánchez

MC2 Hall
15:30 – 16:30  Oral Presentations 7  Chair: Roxana Lescano

OP07.1  Artificial Intelligence-based Feature Recognition of “Paper Mill” Patterns
Tianyi Hu

OP07.2  The detrimental impact of Artificial Intelligence on future research integrity, unless we change course(s)
Gustaaf Cornelis

OP07.3  Findings From a Paper Mill
Svetlana Kleiner

OP07.4  The relevance of criminological inquiry about research misconduct
Rita Faria

MC3 Hall
15:30 – 16:30  Oral Presentations 8  Chair: Julia Prieß-Buchheit

OP08.1  Responsible PhD Supervision from the perspective of the supervisor and the PhD candidate
Tamarinde Haven

OP08.2  Is mentorship heritable? The transmission of integrity from mentor to mentee
Kathryn Weber-Boer

OP08.3  Empowering Research Integrity: Fostering intrinsic commitment to good research practice across all academic ranks
Andrea Kliewer

OP08.4  Do we achieve anything by teaching research integrity to starting PhD students?
Kris Dierickx

MC3.2 Hall
15:30 – 16:30  Oral Presentations 9  Chair: Bert Seghers

OP09.1  Negotiating RI as academic survival strategies
Andrea Reyes Elizondo

OP09.2  On the interconnectedness of psycho-social and research integrity – experiences as confidential counsellor
Fenneke Blom

OP09.3  Integrity at the core of healthy and effective research cultures: the roles and responsibilities of research organisations
James Morris

OP09.4  Lessons from the Peruvian ‘Vacunagate’ Case on the Limits of Regulation in Research Integrity
Elizabeth Heitman
**MC3.3 Hall**

**Oral Presentations 10**
Chair: Tony Ross-Hellauer

- **OP10.1**
  Leveraging Artificial Intelligence for Assessing Responsible Research Practices
  Ulf Toelch

- **OP10.2**
  Academic publisher guidelines on AI usage: a ChatGPT supported thematic analysis
  Mike Perkins

- **OP10.3**
  Drafting a data management plan for an international project
  François Vincent, Martine Peters

- **OP10.4**
  What academics want versus what they need
  Patricia Henley

**MC3.4 Hall**

**Oral Presentations 11**
Chair: Frits Rosendaal

- **OP11.1**
  Open peer review reports have higher developmental content and similarity than anonymized unpublished reports
  Mario Malicki

- **OP11.2**
  Correcting the published record: How authors react to editorial prompts about corrections to cited literature
  Ana Marušić

- **OP11.3**
  Redesigning reporting guidelines: presenting a new way to disseminate reporting guidance based on behaviour change theory
  James Harwood

- **OP11.4**
  AI Chatbots and the Ethics of Authorship
  Robert Pennock

16:30 – 17:00 **BREAK**

**Banqueting Hall**

**Symposium 3: Implications of research integrity for public trust in academic research**
Chair: Serge Horbach
Moderator: Jennifer Byrne

- Why warranted trust in science is never a given, while scepticism is an intrinsic component of trustworthiness – findings from the IANUS project
  Hub Zwart

- On the role of mediating actors in building trust through research integrity and societal integration – findings from the POIESIS project
  Serge Horbach

- Re-defining the ecosystem of trust in science: the role of stewards of trust in changing research environments – findings from the VERITY project
  Agata Gurzawska
MONDAY
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Skalkotas Hall

17:00 – 18:30
Symposium 4: A snapshot of knowledge on research integrity from research presented in the doctoral forum of the world conferences: a bird’s eye view on the findings
Chair: Carmen Penido
Moderator: Elizabeth Heitman
Contributors: Rita Faria, Tamarinde Haven, Francis Kombe, Sonia Vasconcelos

MC2 Hall

17:00 – 18:30
Symposium 5: Leveraging policies to influence and enhance responsible conduct of research (RCR)
Chair: Paula Saner

- How do national Ethics regulations impact RI/RCR practices and policies
  Clarissa Robertson
- Management, development and implementation of institutional research policies, examples from an HIC context
  David Blades
- What role do HICs play in capacity and policy development in LMICs?
  Dorian Karatzas
- International collaboration as a tool to elevate and enact development of local policies and practice
  A. Roxana Lescano

MC3 Hall

17:00 – 18:30
Oral Presentations 12
Chair: Anne Walsh

OP12.1 Ideology versus academic freedom. A matter of research integrity?
Jonathan Soeharno

OP12.2 Do scientists want to dwell in the ivory tower? Mapping researchers’ attitudes towards societal values in science
Jacopo Ambrosi

OP12.3 Enriching engagement with ethical review processes
Filipa Vance

OP12.4 Conceptualising the global dimension of Responsible Research and Innovation through a decolonial lens – Reflections from a Summer School
Sarah Patricia Wendt

OP12.5 Promoting research integrity in the era of decoloniality and epistemic freedom towards locally relevant policies
Nadia Tagoe

OP12.6 Integrity in Research Practice: Perception of undergraduate students in Rwandan universities
Edouard Ntakirutimana
**MC3.2 Hall**

**Oral Presentations 13**

**Chair:** Nicole Foeger

- **OP13.1** Research Integrity, Thick and Thin
  Michael Vincent

- **OP13.2** The ENRIO Handbook on Whistleblower Protection in Research – Critical Feedback and Future Challenges
  Helga Nolte

- **OP13.3** Fostering Creative Integrity: Engaging Design Educators in Addressing Visual Plagiarism Through Participatory Design Methods
  Lisa Winstanley

- **OP13.4** Working towards a more unified research integrity policy at Erasmus MC
  Angela van Tilborg

- **OP13.5** The link between research methods and research ethics: Towards promoting ethical research culture in higher education
  Anastasia Ngobe

- **OP13.6** Designing practical tools to foster the epistemic responsibilities of universities: A co-creation study
  Iris Lechner

**MC3.3 Hall**

**Oral Presentations 14**

**Chair:** Li Tang

- **OP14.1** Uncovering Duplicated Images in Scientific Literature - Systematic Review as a Tool for Detection
  Rene Aquarius

- **OP14.2** Correlating Indicators of Research Integrity: An Analysis of Image Integrity, Statistical Inconsistencies, and Missing Citations
  Daniel Acuna

- **OP14.3** Mining tortured acronyms from the scientific literature
  Alexandre Clausse

- **OP14.4** Year after year: Tortured conference series thriving in computer science
  Wendeline Swart

- **OP14.5** Bad smells in reviewers’ reports? Text-mining the MDPI Open Peer Review Corpus
  Gilles Hubert

- **OP14.6** Why do some retracted articles continue to get cited?
  Marion Schmidt
### Scientific Programme

#### MONDAY

**June 3, 2024**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Session</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>17:00 – 18:30</td>
<td>MC3.4 Hall</td>
<td><strong>Oral Presentations 15</strong>&lt;br&gt;Chair: Takehito Kamata</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>OP15.1</strong>&lt;br&gt;Promoting diversity and inclusively in research through the concept of Ubuntu: A South African Perspective <em>(Anderson-Kleinert Diversity Award)</em>&lt;br&gt;Feziwe Mseleni</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>OP15.2</strong>&lt;br&gt;How Should Research Integrity be Introduced to Undergraduate Students? Insights from SURE-Africa Project at a Medical School in Southern Nigeria <em>(Anderson-Kleinert Diversity Award)</em>&lt;br&gt;Chiedozie Ike</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>OP15.3</strong>&lt;br&gt;Best Practices and Lessons Learned from 30-Years of Teaching the “Responsible Conduct of Research” to Junior Faculty, Postdoctoral Scientists and Graduate and Undergraduate Students at Columbia University&lt;br&gt;Jaime Rubin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>OP15.4</strong>&lt;br&gt;Achievements and Future Challenges in Establishing Research Ethics at the Korean University Council of Research Ethics (KUCRE)&lt;br&gt;In Jae Lee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>OP15.5</strong>&lt;br&gt;Evaluation of the Efficacy of Education and Training on Research Integrity for Young Researchers at KMUTT, Thailand&lt;br&gt;Namol Vorapreeda</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>OP15.6</strong>&lt;br&gt;Exploration of a new model of scientific research integrity construction in Chinese hospitals——Taking the experience of a Top 10 tertiary medical center as an example&lt;br&gt;Shanhua Mao</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| 18:30 – 19:30 | Banquet Foyer | **Socratic dialogues between early career researchers and professionals**<br>Chair: De-Ming Chau |
### Scientific Programme

**Banqueting Hall**

#### 08:30 – 10:00

**Plenary C: The 7th WCRI Cape Town statement on fostering research integrity through fairness and equity one year on**

**Chair:** Eleni Spyrakou

- The Cape Town statement on fostering research integrity through fairness and equity: A recap and overview
  - Lyn Horn
- Benchmarking academic editor diversity at Springer Nature journals
  - Ed Gerstner
- From principles to practice: using equitable partnership tools to action the Cape Town Statement
  - Michelle Brear

#### 10:00 – 10:30

**BREAK**

### Skalkotas Hall

#### 10:30 – 12:00

**Focus Track (Part 2): Catalysing the translation of research into trustworthy policy and innovation**

**Chair:** Panagiotis Kavouras

### Banqueting Hall

#### 10:30 – 12:00

**Symposium 6: Research integrity: improving training and trainer quality**

**Chair:** Julia Prieß-Buchheit

**Moderator:** Marie Alavi

- (Non-)Effective FAIR Training
  - Julia Prieß-Buchheit
- Opportunities and Challenges in Fostering Research Integrity in Malaysia
  - De-Ming Chau
- Measuring Effectiveness of RCR education
  - Mariëtte Van Den Hoven, Miriam van Loon

### MC2 Hall

#### 10:30 – 12:00

**Symposium 7: The intersection of research integrity and academic integrity: multi-country perspectives**

**Chair:** Sarah Elaine Eaton

**Contributors:** Erika Löfström, Brenda Stoesz, Anu Tammeleht

### MC3 Hall

#### 10:30 – 12:00

**Oral Presentations 16**

**Chair:** Ana Marušić

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OP16.1</th>
<th>Stakeholders’ Views about Research Misconduct in a Public University in Ghana</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OP16.2</td>
<td>Reflecting on the implementation of a newly developed integrated research integrity management system: North-West University, South Africa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OP16.3</td>
<td>The State of Research Ethics and Integrity among University Students in Africa: Insights from selected Botswana Higher Education Institutions</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
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**OP16.4**
Development of Legislative Interventions and Policies for Preventing Research Misconduct in Africa
Francis Akpa-Inyang

**OP16.5**
Challenges in Setting up a Research Integrity Office in a Lower Middle-Income Country (LMIC)
Academic Institution: Lessons from Moi University, Kenya
Edwin Were

**OP16.6**
Research Integrity in Higher Education Institutions in the countries of the Andean Community
Gisela Isabel Fernández Rivas Plata

**MC3.2 Hall**
10:30 – 12:00
Oral Presentations 17
Chair: Chris Graf

**OP17.1**
Are global clinical trial funders policies on clinical trial registration and reporting improving? - a cross-sectional study
Marguerite O’Riordan

**OP17.2**
Academic and research misconduct among health and non-health students: which Big 6 personality profiles are at higher risk in each field?
Ana Cristina Verissimo

**OP17.3**
Development of a tool (INSPECT-SR) to identify problematic randomised controlled trials in systematic reviews of health interventions
Jack Wilkinson

**OP17.4**
The Individual Participant Data (IPD) Integrity Tool for assessing the integrity of randomised controlled trials
Anna Lene Seidler

**OP17.5**
Fabricated studies in women’s health: disconcerting experience from a whistleblower
Bernardus Mol

**OP17.6**
How to Deal with Academic “Inbreeding”? - Inmobility, Mobility and Meritocracy in Research and Higher Education Institutions
Wilfrid Antonio Martinez Sanchez

**MC3.3 Hall**
10:30 – 12:00
Oral Presentations 18
Chair: Lyn Horn

**OP18.1**
China’s research integrity monitoring system study and it’s application
Yao Yang

**OP18.2**
Cross-Institutional Research Integrity Training Model - Facilitator Dialogical Reflections
Kate Dunne

**OP18.3**
A multi-dimensional learning strategy to foster research integrity
Daniel Pizzolato

**OP18.4**
Interventions to Support Healthy Authorship Practices in Research Teams
Elise Demeter

**OP18.5**
Promoting excellence in research through integrity – developing a theoretical foundation for open distance e-learning
Leonie Louw

**OP18.6**
Fostering Research Integrity Culture through Rotatory Role-Playing: A Study on Enhancing Awareness of Research Integrity Code of Conduct
Hsing-Tzu Lin
### Oral Presentations 19

**Chair:** Mads P. Sørensen

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Session</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Presenters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OP19.1</td>
<td>Surveys of Institutional Research Culture - Can we measure change?</td>
<td>Malcolm Macleod</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OP19.2</td>
<td>Conflict Resolution, Accountability, Respectful Interactions, Ethics, and Supportive Environments (CARES): Development and Validation of an Organizational Climate Survey</td>
<td>Brian C. Martinson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OP19.3</td>
<td>Signs, Symptoms, and Situations of Moral Distress During the Pursuit of Research Excellence</td>
<td>Anna Kang Liu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OP19.4</td>
<td>UK Committee on Research Integrity – building the evidence base</td>
<td>Rachael Gooberman-Hill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OP19.5</td>
<td>Restoring Public Trust in the U.S. Government Through Scientific Integrity</td>
<td>Anne Andrews</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Lunch & Poster Walk II

**Poster Walk Group 11**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Poster</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Presenters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PP-001</td>
<td>The role of research frontline staff in promoting research integrity</td>
<td>Francis Kombe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP-003</td>
<td>Unveiling the veiled injustices of women researchers in Cameroon</td>
<td>Solange Swiri Tumasang</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP-005</td>
<td>Responding to Changing Environments: Insights and Action Areas for Federal and Research Institutions from the 2022 Senior Research Officials’ Conference</td>
<td>Jennifer Ariansen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP-007</td>
<td>PERITIA – Policy, Expertise and Trust in Action</td>
<td>Jenny Knell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP-009</td>
<td>Building a centre for Research Integrity and Open Science (RIOS): how to foster RCR?</td>
<td>Mariëtte Van Den Hoven</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP-011</td>
<td>The TIER2 project: Enhancing Trust, Integrity and Efficiency in Research through next-level Reproducibility</td>
<td>Tony Ross-Hellauer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP-013</td>
<td>An exploratory study on the inclusion of Research Methodology courses in Greek universities’ curricula</td>
<td>Panagiotis Kavournas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP-015</td>
<td>Analysis of attitudes toward research integrity and evaluation system of Japanese scientists in life-science fields</td>
<td>Kumiko Nishiyama</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP-017</td>
<td>Academic Research Values: Conceptualization and Scale Development</td>
<td>Andrea Kis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP-019</td>
<td>A holistic approach to good scientific practice: the PRBB case study</td>
<td>Maruxa Martinez</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Scientific Programme**

**TUESDAY June 4, 2024**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>12:30 – 13:30</th>
<th><strong>Poster Walk Group 12</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **PP-021**    | Survey of the Research Community of a large, Research-intensive University - Research Culture and its Intersection with Responsible Research Practices  
Grace Mulcahy |
| **PP-023**    | Institutional Research Management and Regulations to Strengthen Scientific Research at the Universidad Femenina del Sagrado Corazón, UNIFÉ, Lima, Perú  
Hilda Figueroa-Pozo |
| **PP-025**    | Perspectives of Research Stakeholders on Fostering Research Integrity at the Kenya Medical Research Institute  
Enock Kebenei |
| **PP-027**    | The experience of women researchers during the Covid-19 pandemic: a scoping review  
Giulia Inguaggiato |
| **PP-029**    | Developing an Effective Tool for Assessing Research Integrity in Japanese Research Institutions  
Masaki Nakamura |
| **PP-031**    | Advancing research integrity: empowering higher degree researchers to navigate the complexities of responsibly using generative artificial intelligence  
Deirdre Rule |
| **PP-033**    | Improving and Measuring Research Culture: A New Professional Development Program  
Dena Plemmons |
| **PP-037**    | Toward a Comprehensive Framework of RCR Education in Taiwan  
Chien Chou |
| **PP-039**    | Testing ChatGPT's and Bard's capacity to write essays on ethical dilemmas: A cross-sectional study  
Luka Ursić |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>12:30 – 13:30</th>
<th><strong>Poster Walk Group 13</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **PP-041**    | Making a Good First Impression: Lessons from designing a Research Integrity Focused Induction  
Andrew Porter |
| **PP-043**    | PATTERN – empowering open and responsible research and innovation  
Teodora Konach |
| **PP-045**    | A mixed methods study assessing the impact of research ethics and integrity training  
Thando Mdaka |
| **PP-047**    | Embedding open research training in an institutional context: an exploration of implementation of the UK Reproducibility Network Open Research Programme Train-the-Trainer project at King's College London  
Ruth Davies |
| **PP-049**    | Prevention matters: developing a holistic Train-the-Trainer for research integrity. A community-based approach  
Teodora Konach |
| **PP-051**    | Using an Interactive Approach for Mentoring Training  
Rebecca Dahl |
| **PP-053**    | Integrating Values Clarification and Character Education for Teaching Research Integrity  
Margit Sutrop |
| **PP-055**    | Red Cell: Using Red Flags to Develop an Affirmative Framework for Research Integrity  
John Thomas |
| **PP-057**    | VIRT2UE: A European train-the-trainer programme for teaching research integrity  
Natalie Evans |
| **PP-059**    | Widening reproducibility in EU - Lessons learned from the award call for new Reproducibility Networks  
Friederike Kohrs |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Session</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Author(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12:30 – 13:30</td>
<td><strong>Poster Walk Group 14</strong></td>
<td>Mapping Clinical Trials Funders: An Exploratory Investigation in Germany</td>
<td>Samruddhi Yerunkar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Assessing policy citation impact - we need to start discussing risks and benefits</td>
<td>Koen Jonkers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Support for responsible research practices among Brazilian graduate education programs in the life sciences</td>
<td>Gabriel Gonçalves</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Easing the burden of creating and managing narrative CVs in academia</td>
<td>Thanasis Vergoulis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ensuring the Research Integrity of Systematic Reviews</td>
<td>Suzanne May Shwen Lee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pre-Submission Checklists: help or hindrance? Implementation of the REAPPRAISED checklist in a higher education setting</td>
<td>Patricia Henley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Preregistration in Psychology: Empirical Evidence on its Effectiveness</td>
<td>Olmo van den Akker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A case study: The benefits of the EQIPD Quality System for a research lab</td>
<td>Bjørn Gerlach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Completion and Publication Rates of Total Knee Arthroplasty Studies over time: An Observational Study on Registered Trials</td>
<td>Annabelle Iken</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Data quality assessment in publicly registered trials databases</td>
<td>Annabelle Iken</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:30 – 13:30</td>
<td><strong>Poster Walk Group 15</strong></td>
<td>Knowledge Production Modes: The Relevance and Feasibility of ‘Reproducibility’</td>
<td>Sven Ulpts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>The HYBRIDA project: Embedding a comprehensive ethical dimension to organoid-based research and resulting technologies</td>
<td>Panagiotis Kavouras</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ten Top Tips to efficiently pre-register a preclinical systematic review protocol on PROSPERO4animals</td>
<td>Torsten Rackoll</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Is replicability predicted by complexity? A pre-registered test of Information-Compression Theory, based on data from the Brazilian Reproducibility Initiative</td>
<td>Daniele Fanelli</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ghanaian academics' views on research misconduct in Public Universities in Ghana</td>
<td>Fred Yao Gbagbo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Perspectives of Supervisors towards Scientific Merit in Research: A case study of department of Educational Foundations at University of Botswana (UB)</td>
<td>Basutli Ramontshonyana</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Navigating the intersection between academic and research integrity: A research integrity administrator’s experiences at an Australian University</td>
<td>Marc Fellman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Aligning Scientific Values and Research Integrity: A Cross-Cultural Analysis of Researchers’ Perceptions and Practices</td>
<td>Dan Li</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Session</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Speaker(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12:30 – 13:30</td>
<td><strong>Poster Walk Group 16</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PP-097</strong></td>
<td>Conceptual and methodological foundations for innovative changes in research ethics review - the CHANGER project</td>
<td>Matthias Kaiser</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PP-099</strong></td>
<td>SOPs4RI (Standard Operating Procedures for Research Integrity)</td>
<td>Mads P. Sørensen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:30 – 13:30</td>
<td><strong>Poster Walk Group 17</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PP-121</strong></td>
<td>A pilot test of red teams to foster the epistemic responsibilities of universities: A multiple case study in three countries</td>
<td>Iris Lechner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PP-123</strong></td>
<td>Research integrity guidelines and safeguards in Hungary</td>
<td>Péter Kakuk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PP-125</strong></td>
<td>Training Fieldworkers to Research Sensitive Subjects: What are we really doing to minimize interviewer bias?</td>
<td>Ann Moore</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PP-127</strong></td>
<td>A Taxonomy of Retractions: Enhancing Trust Assessment in Scholarly Research</td>
<td>Leslie McIntosh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PP-129</strong></td>
<td>Designing an interoperable framework for qualitatively rich case study reporting and monitoring</td>
<td>Susanne van den Hooff</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PP-131</strong></td>
<td>Building a responsible research security program at a large university in the US</td>
<td>Bradley Bishop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poster Number</td>
<td>Title</td>
<td>Presenter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP-133</td>
<td>The Status of Research Integrity Policy Development on Artificial Intelligence in South African Public Universities: A Case Study to Better Inform Development and Training in the SADC Region</td>
<td>Caryn (Caz) McNamara</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP-135</td>
<td>An Exploration of Institutional Research Integrity: Finding Synergies and Best Practices that Work</td>
<td>Eleni Spyarakou</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:30 – 13:30</td>
<td><strong>Poster Walk Group 18</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP-141</td>
<td>Public consultation on research ethics, integrity and misconduct: Insights from BEYOND project</td>
<td>Signe Mežinska</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP-143</td>
<td>The Embassy of Good Science: your online platform for research integrity and ethics</td>
<td>Ana Marusic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP-145</td>
<td>Requirements for Data Management and Sharing Plans in Biomedicine: a scoping review</td>
<td>Anna Catharina Vieira Armond</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP-147</td>
<td>Research Integrity Officers’ Responsibilities and Perspectives on Data Management Plan Compliance and Evaluation</td>
<td>Bradley Bishop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP-149</td>
<td>Lifting of embargoes to data sharing in clinical trials published in top medical journals</td>
<td>Maximilian Siebert</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP-151</td>
<td>When Research Collaboration and Equitable Partnerships go wrong: The case study of a South African indigenous community and an international collaboration</td>
<td>Cornelia Malherbe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP-153</td>
<td>Expanding the notion of Indigenous Knowledge Recognition in the Cape Town Statement on Fostering Research Integrity through Fairness and Equity</td>
<td>Retha Visagie</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP-155</td>
<td>POIESIS: How Research Integrity and Open Science affect Public Trust in Science</td>
<td>Serge Horbach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP-157</td>
<td>Advancing international collaborative research and promoting research integrity: An analysis of stakeholders’ perspectives between Sweden and Japan</td>
<td>Takehito Kamata</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP-159</td>
<td>Strategies for fostering research integrity through community co-creation</td>
<td>Friederike Kohrs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:30 – 13:30</td>
<td><strong>Poster Walk Group 19</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP-161</td>
<td>Working with a consortium to help establish a baseline of good research integrity practice across the Republic of Ireland</td>
<td>Nicholas Broom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP-163</td>
<td>Communities for Open Research Synthesis - integrating preclinical systematic reviews into the research pipeline</td>
<td>Alexandra Bannach-Brown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP-165</td>
<td>A National collaborative approach to promoting Research Integrity from an Irish perspective</td>
<td>Ruth Patricia Moran</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP-167</td>
<td>European Network of Ombuds in Higher Education</td>
<td>Anna-Katharina Rothwangl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP-169</td>
<td>Declaration of conflict of interest in published reports on health technology assessment</td>
<td>Miro Vuković</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP-171</td>
<td>Research waste in Nepal: scenarios and problems for funding agencies</td>
<td>Suresh Baral</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP-173</td>
<td>Peer reviewers’ conflicts of interest in biomedical research: scoping review</td>
<td>Christoffer Bruun Korfitlsen</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TUESDAY
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PP-175 Publication literacy as a strategy to promote research ethics and integrity in article writing
Laetus Lategan

PP-177 Commitment to ethics and integrity in Brazilian health journals in the SciELO Brazil collection
Edna Frasson De Souza Montero

PP-179 Reporting quality of quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) methods in scientific publications
Tracey Weissgerber

12:30 – 13:30 Poster Walk Group 20

PP-181 Promoting Open Science in times of Artificial Intelligence: Do we grasp the interplay?
Marie Alavi

PP-183 Ethical aspects in MonkeyPox publications: An analysis of case reports in Latin America
Yolanda Angulo Bazan

Integrating Publication Ethics and Research Integrity into your editorial submission and peer review workflows: tips and techniques to achieve effective communication, education and compliance
Elizabeth Hay

PP-187 Cooking up insights: Unearthing the data fabrication attitudes in dental and medical faculties of India
Raksha Radhakrishnan

PP-189 Publication strategies of paper mills: a case-study from the Tanu.pro paper mill/brokerage company
Anna Abalkina

PP-191 Research Article Image Duplication Detection Based on Computer Vision
Ding Junpeng

PP-193 Understanding the patterns and magnitude of life science publication retractions in the last two decades using an evidence-based approach
Sabuj Bhattacharyya

PP-195 Emerging trends in how guidelines address research misconduct
Alina Coman

PP-197 Examining a university’s promotion policy: The rewarding of quantity over quality as a research integrity dilemma
Malesela Alfred Matlawe

Banqueting Hall

13:30 – 15:00 Plenary D: Addressing the challenge of paper mills through research and policy
Chair: Jennifer Byrne

- Paper mill submissions—identifying red flags for moving targets
  Lisa Parker
- How research paper mills avoid consequences of journal de-indexing
  Thomas Stoeger
- United2Act on paper mills: an update
  Deborah Kahn

15:00 – 15:30 B R E A K
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Session</th>
<th>Chair</th>
<th>Presentations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Banqueting Hall</strong></td>
<td>15:30 – 16:30</td>
<td><strong>Oral Presentations 20</strong></td>
<td>Matthias Kaiser</td>
<td>OP20.1 The Ethics of Responsible AI: Ways of Long-term Coping Hallvard Fosseim</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>OP20.2 AI and the evolution of scientific norms: whether and how the norms of science should change to accommodate AI? Mohammad Hosseini</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>OP20.3 Publishers’ Post-Publication Response Rate and Outcomes of Concerns About False Data in Medical Publications Bernardus Mol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>OP20.4 Research Integrity and Ethics in the 21st Century India: A Critical Appraisal Tarun Kumar Mondal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Skalkotas Hall</strong></td>
<td>15:30 – 16:30</td>
<td><strong>Oral Presentations 21</strong></td>
<td>Stefanie Van der Burght</td>
<td>OP21.1 A taxonomy of scholarly disinformation to enhance research integrity Leslie McIntosh, William White</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>OP21.2 How to assess the scientific integrity of the collected work of one author or group of authors Jeremy Nielsen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>OP21.3 Citations to questionable journals threaten research integrity: bibliometrics as a key actor in the detection Barbara S. Lancho Barrantes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>OP21.4 Methods of Dissernet development after “progress” of research falsification Vasilii Vlassov</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MC2 Hall</strong></td>
<td>15:30 – 16:30</td>
<td><strong>Oral Presentations 22</strong></td>
<td>Sonia Vasconcelos</td>
<td>OP22.1 Identifying Training Needs for Research Ethics Committees Eleni Spyrakou</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>OP22.2 The Necessity to Teach Research Integrity Values Markus Seethaler</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>OP22.3 The ethics of scholarship: Reflections on postgraduate supervision and research Laetus Lategan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>OP22.4 Enhancing Research Integrity in Low- and Middle-Income Countries: Lessons from Global Initiatives in Oncology Khalid El Bairi</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TUESDAY
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**MC3 Hall**

15:30 – 16:30  **Oral Presentations 23**
Chair: Zoe Hammatt

**OP23.1**  We are as strong as our weakest link—Fieldworkers’ role in research integrity
Francis Kombe

**OP23.2**  Indigenising Research Management: What Does it Mean for Research Integrity Managers and Administrators? (Anderson-Kleinert Diversity Award)
Tanya Coetzee

**OP23.3**  A Large-Scale, International Cross-Sectional Survey of Attitudes and Perceptions of Medical Researchers Towards the Use of Artificial Intelligence Chatbots in the Scientific Process
Jeremy Ng

**OP23.4**  Responsible Artificial Intelligence – legal perspectives on emerging technologies and research integrity
Teodora Konach

**MC3.2 Hall**

15:30 – 16:30  **Oral Presentations 24**
Chair: David Moher

**OP24.1**  iRISE – SOLES: A Systematic Online Living Evidence Summary for interventions to improve reproducibility
Kaitlyn Hair

**OP24.2**  Navigating ambiguity and uncertainty in research practice
Tom Van Drimmelen

**OP24.3**  The attribution of two portraits of Rembrandt revisited: A case study of replication in art history
Charlotte Rulkens

**OP24.4**  Critical evaluation of the current state preregistration in animal research
Céline Heinl

**MC3.3 Hall**

15:30 – 16:30  **Oral Presentations 25**
Chair: David Tovey

**OP25.1**  How should our understanding of research integrity be used to inform trustworthy science communication
Alison Sheaves

**OP25.2**  Impacts of misinformation on the translation of science with the public.
Madison Green

**OP25.3**  Editorial Review in International Science for Policy Organisations
Koen Jonkers

**OP25.4**  Promoting research integrity and communication using science informed art
Karen Cloete

Virtual Presentation
### MC3.4 Hall

15:30 – 16:30 **Oral Presentations 26**  
**Chair:** Maura Hiney

| OP26.1 | TIER2's activities to foster a next-level funders community in reproducibility  
Alexandra Bannach-Brown |
| OP26.2 | Are research funding decisions related to applicants’ assessments of research ethics and integrity?  
Knut Jørgen Vie |
| OP26.3 | How One Grant Reviewer Stopped 10 Year of Research Misconduct  
Ning Du |
| OP26.4 | Corrections to journal articles supported by European and US research grants: a cross-sectional study, 2014-2022  
Antonija Mijatovic |

16:30 – 16:45 **BREAK**

### Banqueting Hall

16:45 – 17:30 **Steneck-Mayer Lecture**  
**Chair:** Maura Hiney

- Reproducible research: Progress made across disciplines  
John P. A. Ioannidis
**WEDNESDAY**
**June 5, 2024**

**Scientific Programme**

**Banqueting Hall**

08:30 – 10:00  **Plenary E: Tackling racial and ethnic bias when translating research into policy**
Chair: Sabine Kleinert
- Tackling racial and ethnic bias when translating research into policy – The researcher’s perspective
  Thirusha Naidu
- Tackling racial and ethnic bias when translating research into policy – The clinician – anthropologist’s perspective
  Jessica Cerdena
- Tackling racial and ethnic bias when translating research into policy – The editor’s perspective
  Mabel Chew

10:00 – 10:30  **BREAK**

**Banqueting Hall**

10:30 – 12:00  **Symposium 8: Toward responsible clinical trial data sharing practices**
Chair: Florian Naudet
- Clinical trial data sharing and the global south
  Syntia Nchangwi
- COPE positions about management of empty promises
  Daniel Kulp

**Skalkotas Hall**

10:30 – 12:00  **Symposium 9: A policy agenda to address industry influence on research integrity**
Chair: Quinn Grundy
Contributors: Marc-Andre Gagnon, Scott Graham, Andreas Lundh, Lisa Parker

**MC2 Hall**

10:30 – 12:00  **Symposium 10: Governance of research integrity in the era of open science**
Chair: Rosemarie De La Cruz Bernabe
- Introduction
  Rosemarie De La Cruz Bernabe
- Understanding Open Science: Opportunities, Challenges and Solutions
  Signe Mežinska
- Will technology enable responsible open science?
  Olivier Le Gall
- ROSiE complement to the European Code of Conduct for Research Integrity. ROSiE General Guidelines on Responsible Open Science
  Rosemarie De La Cruz Bernabe
- ROSiE field-specific ethics and integrity guidelines on OS
  Teodora Konach
- Roundtable Discussion: Challenges and Opportunities for Responsible Open Science in Europe Panel
- Closing remarks
MC3 Hall

10:30 – 12:00

**Oral Presentations 27**
Chair: Sheila Garrity

**OP27.1**
Is Innovation the Enemy of Fairness? A Research Data Perspective
Katrin Frisch

**OP27.2**
Conflicts of interest in research across scholarly disciplines: cross-sectional study and qualitative content analysis of policies
Helena Van Beersel Krejci

**OP27.3**
Putting Research Integrity on the Map: Understanding Dynamics of Responsibility Across European Research Integrity Policies
Rachel Fishberg

**OP27.4**
Shaping the field: a review of the use of theory in research on research integrity
Lise Degn

**OP27.5**
Development and application of a research integrity maturity model
Gordon McGurk

**OP27.6**
Developing a topography of “responsible conduct of research” across disciplines: a three-part study
Jackie Thompson

MC3.2 Hall

10:30 – 12:00

**Oral Presentations 28**
Chair: Dorian Karatzas

**OP28.1**
A classification and ranking of Questionable Research Practices reported in surveys
Daniele Fanelli

**OP28.2**
Ranking Research Misconduct and Questionable Research Practices: An Informal Survey Among Ph.D.-students
Robin Engelhardt

**OP28.3**
Are questionable practices always detrimental? On the context dependency of ethical evaluations of academic practices
Mads Paludan Goddiksen

**OP28.4**
Themes and emerging factors from research misconduct cases in the media: A report of findings from a review of recent case studies for the BEYOND project
Ian Slesinger

**OP28.5**
Balancing Complexity and Collaboration: Multi-Institutional Research Integrity Investigations in Australia
Karolyn White

**OP28.6**
From watchdogs to Epistemic activists – a pass-through towards institutional change?
Mady Barbeitas

MC3.3 Hall

10:30 – 12:00

**Oral Presentations 29**
Chair: Malcolm Macleod

**OP29.1**
The Cochrane Evidence Pipeline: Revolutionizing Study Identification in Evidence Synthesis
Steph Grohmann

**OP29.2**
Increasing robustness of preclinical research towards successful translation: an assessment of the evolution of protocols from exploration to confirmation
Maria Arroyo Araujo
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- **OP29.3** Transparency in the secondary use of health data: Assessing the status quo of guidance and best practices
  Olmo van den Akker

- **OP29.4** Knowledge and Prevalence of Research Misconduct among Post-Graduate Students in South-West Nigeria.
  Rebecca Oke

- **OP29.5** Results of the use of the Quality Output Checklist and Content Assessment (QuOCCA)
  Simon Gandevia

- **OP29.6** The Use and Misuse of Clinical Trial Registries: Reflections from Metaresearch in the UK and Germany
  Maia Salholz-Hillel

- **MC3.4 Hall**
  10:30 – 12:00
  **Oral Presentations 30**
  Chair: Annette Flanagin

  - **OP30.1** Do potential predatory journals and mainstream ones differ in handling retractions?
    Shaoxiong Brian Xu

  - **OP30.2** Conflicts of interest policies for editors, statistical reviewers, and peer reviewers in medical journals: cross-sectional study
    Christoffer Bruun Korfitsen

  - **OP30.3** Empirical Insights into global retraction notices: Assessing Quality for Improved Transparency and Accountability
    Hui-Zhen Fu

  - **OP30.4** Requiem for the retraction: why proposals for ‘honest retractions’ fail in their objective to promote and encourage the self-correction of science
    Tim Kersjes

  - **OP30.5** Towards automatic detection of citation quotation errors: An open dataset and machine learning models
    Halil Kilicoglu

  - **OP30.6** Monitoring Open Science Practices — towards a community-derived automated dashboard for biomedical institutions
    Anna Catharina Vieira Armond

12:00 – 13:00  **LUNCH BREAK**

- **Banqueting Hall**
  13:00 – 14:30
  **Plenary F: Perspectives on Research Integrity and Generative Artificial Intelligence**
  Chair: Daniel Barr

  - Perspective of publishers and policy makers
    Joris van Rossum

  - Perspective from Industry
    Shanshan Yu

  - Impacts of generative AI on research: The Good, the Bad, and the Ugly
    Karin Verspoor

14:30 – 15:00  **BREAK**
### Banqueting Hall

**Oral Presentations 31**

**Chair:** Loreta Tauginienė

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Session</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Presenter(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>OP31.1</strong></td>
<td>Challenges and Opportunities in Promoting Research Integrity in African Institutions</td>
<td>Daisy Cheruiyot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>OP31.2</strong></td>
<td>Strengthening Research Ethics and Integrity in East and Sub-Saharan Africa</td>
<td>Gowri Gopalakrishna</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>OP31.3</strong></td>
<td>How can human experience influence the development of integrity tools and workflows? A case study from image screening</td>
<td>Elizabeth Moylan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>OP31.4</strong></td>
<td>Constructing an International Framework for the Promotion of Research Integrity in Asia: An Interim Report</td>
<td>Jun Fudano</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Skalkotas Hall

**Oral Presentations 32**

**Chair:** Grace Mulcahy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Session</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Presenter(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>OP32.1</strong></td>
<td>A Research Institution’s Experience of the Recommendations of Cooperation and Liaison between Universities and Editors (CLUE)</td>
<td>David Blades</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>OP32.2</strong></td>
<td>Placeholders: An Honest-Error Defense?</td>
<td>Ranjini Devi Ambalavanar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>OP32.3</strong></td>
<td>Keeping the PEACE: Applying an interview model to research integrity investigations</td>
<td>Nitya Phillipson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>OP32.4</strong></td>
<td>Beyond Organisational Boundaries: Ecosystem and Researcher Influences on Integrity and Practice</td>
<td>Robin Brooker</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### MC2 Hall

**Oral Presentations 33**

**Chair:** Tamarinde Haven

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Session</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Presenter(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>OP33.1</strong></td>
<td>The case for a percentage-based author-contribution system in scientific publishing</td>
<td>Achilleas Samaras</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>OP33.2</strong></td>
<td>Peer reviewer credit in research assessment</td>
<td>Erica Wilfong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>OP33.3</strong></td>
<td>Research Integrity Indicators project from the UK Committee on Research Integrity</td>
<td>Jane Alfred</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>OP33.4</strong></td>
<td>Fostering research integrity practices among Postgraduate Students, Postdoctoral Research Fellows (PDRFs) and University Staff: A Case of a South African University</td>
<td>Oluyinka Osunkunle</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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**MC3 Hall**
15:00 – 16:00

**Oral Presentations 34**
Chair: Nikos Thomaidis

- **OP34.1**
  Research data management practices and challenges at a large comprehensive university: A cross-sectional mixed method study
  Joshua Ho

- **OP34.2**
  The institutional journey to foster research integrity through research data management and open science: Are we there yet?
  Su Nee Goh

- **OP34.3**
  The Role of Data Protection Laws in Promoting Research: An East African Case Study
  Judith Murungi

- **OP34.4**
  Collating and comparing institutional data on university research infrastructures: critical reflections from ACU Measures
  William Bramwell

**MC3.2 Hall**
15:00 – 16:00

**Oral Presentations 35**
Chair: Costas Charitidis

- **OP35.1**
  Partners in Integrity – The Importance of Global Collaboration for Responsible Research: A South African and UK Perspective
  Liam Mckervey

- **OP35.2**
  Research Stewardship – A New Concept to Keep Up With the Times
  Allison Jackson

- **OP35.3**
  Shifting Power and Advancing Equity in the Field of Sexual and Reproductive Health Globally
  Ann Moore

- **OP35.4**
  The Blur of Academic Integrity and Research Integrity – The Complementary Link
  Eleni Flack-Davison

**MC3.3 Hall**
15:00 – 16:00

**Oral Presentations 36**
Chair: Tomáš Foltýnek

- **OP36.1**
  Navigating Open Science and Research Ethics in the Basic Sciences: Insights from a Qualitative Study
  Alejandra Manco

- **OP36.2**
  Students’ Perceptions and Lecturers’ Experiences of Thesis Supervision in the School of Creative Arts, University of Education, Winneba
  Pearl Hammond

- **OP36.3**
  The institutionalization of principles of equitable partnerships by large international funders – naivety or lack of commitment?
  Cornelia Malherbe

- **OP36.4**
  Trailblazers: putting patients in the driving seat of clinical trials
  Caroline Struthers
## Scientific Programme

### MC3.4 Hall

15:00 – 16:00 **Oral Presentations 37**  
**Chair:** Chien Chou

| OP37.1 | Embedding equity in international research collaboration  
|        | David Nicholson |
| OP37.2 | Incorporating research ethics into collaborative public-private sector research in Horizon Europe technological innovation projects: ethics advisors’ reflections on experiences from DIDYMOS-XR  
|        | Irma Poder |
| OP37.3 | More ethics in the laboratory, please! Scientists’ perspectives on ethics in the preclinical phase  
|        | Marcin Waligóra |
| OP37.4 | Data management in research misconduct cases in the UK  
|        | Anne Taylor, Rebecca Veitch |

16:00 – 16:20 **BREAK**

### Banqueting Hall

16:20 – 17:20 **Concluding Plenary**  
**Chair:** Lex Bouter

- Progress made with Athens statement  
  Panagiotis Kavouras
- Pre-registration of empirical research reported on in WCRI abstracts  
  Klaas Sijtsma
- Excellence in Doctoral Research Awards  
  Tamarinde Haven
- Anderson-Kleinert Diversity Awards  
  Sabine Kleinert
- Poster and Oral Presentation Awards  
  Chris Graf
- Announcement of the 9th WCRI
- Closing remarks

---

**Scientific Programme**  
**WEDNESDAY**  
**June 5, 2024**
Digital Posters

DP-001  Research on the Development of Research Integrity Education Practices in Chinese Universities
Fang Liu

DP-002  What is ChatGPT the name of? - II. ChatGPT and higher education
Mariya Chankova

DP-003  Training program on research integrity and responsible research culture for research teams and ethics committees
Fenneke Blom

DP-004  Research Integrity in Polish curricula. Mapping the current practices
Agnieszka Dwojak-Matras

DP-005  Research on Early Warning Model for Retracted Papers
Lingzi Feng

DP-006  Completeness and changes in data reporting pharmacological interventions to treat COVID-19 in ClinicalTrials.gov and corresponding publications
Mia Strikić

DP-007  Adverse event reporting in clinical trials on pharmacological interventions to treat COVID-19 registered on ClinicalTrials.gov compared to corresponding publications: a cross-sectional study
Mia Strikić

DP-008  Selective reporting in randomized clinical trials included in a systematic review of renal effects of selective cyclooxygenase-2 inhibitor anti-inflammatory drugs
Master Tayanny Biase

DP-010  Beyond Red Tape: Rethinking Research Ethics Governance in Malawi
Yamikani Ndasauka

DP-011  Reporting characteristics and risk of bias of randomised controlled trials for deep caries management
Rokaia A. Elagami

DP-012  Critical analysis of factors influencing citation integrity and miscitation in academic literature: a review of reviews
Dina Idriss-Wheeler

DP-013  The Implementation of Researcher Training Initiatives to Positively Influence Responsible Conduct of Research in a Diverse Cultural Community
Bruce Thompson

DP-014  What is ChatGPT the name of? - I. ChatGPT and academic production
Mariya Chankova

DP-015  Modeling the Impact of Strict Punitive Measures on Academic Ethical Issues
Kaixiang Kang

DP-016  Institutional strategies for Research Integrity: paving the way to comprehensive and proactive measures
María-José Polo

DP-017  Exploring the Impact of Ethical Risk Factors on Research Misconduct: A Study of Moral Judgment Education
Hsing-Tzu Lin

DP-018  The Three R's of Research Ethics&Integrity: Reflection, Responsibility and Reciprocity
Susana Magalhães

DP-019  CATALISI: towards the promotion of research integrity in Higher Education Institutions within the European Union
Carlota Carretero García

DP-020  Using games to teach scientific integrity to nursing students: an experience report
Graziani Izidoro Ferreira
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Digital Posters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>DP-021</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DP-022</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DP-023</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DP-024</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DP-025</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DP-026</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DP-027</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DP-028</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DP-029</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DP-030</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DP-031</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DP-032</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DP-033</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DP-034</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
About Athens

The city of Athens is much more than what it seems. The cradle of democracy and civilization; yet, beyond its rich history, Athens is a vibrant modern European city with an old-town feel; ancient monuments fuse with a trendy, cosmopolitan scene.

Masterpieces of significant architectural values stand tall today in a harmonious coexistence with impressive modern buildings.

German architect Ernst Ziller designed some of Athens’ most iconic buildings, giving the city the architectural identity and aesthetics needed as the capital of the new Greek state. The Presidential Mansion, Iliou Melathron (which houses the Numismatic Museum of Athens today), the National Theater, and the National Archaeological Museum give only a small example of Ziller’s heritage. On the other hand, modern buildings of high architectural value such as the House of Letters and Arts, the New Acropolis Museum, the Cultural Center-Stavros Niarchos Foundation, and the National Art Gallery remind us that Athens is a part of the 21st century.

In 1981, Athens hosted the ceremonial signing of Greece’s accession to the European Union, while four years later, in 1985, the city was inaugurated as the first European City of Culture. At the dawn of the 21th century, Athens hosted the 2004 Olympic Games, a landmark in modern history, as the Games returned to their birthplace.

This event gave rise to the construction of large modern infrastructure such as the International Airport “Eleftherios Venizelos”, the Metro, and the Attiki Odos highway. These and many more infrastructures represent the modern aspect of Athens.

This is why the capital of Greece is a unique travelling destination, especially for conferences: the city of Athens ties its past, present, and future into one exciting experience.

This is what makes Athens timeless
General Information A–Z

**A**

- **ABSTRACT BOOK**
  WCRI 2024 Abstract book is available only in electronic format. It will be sent to all the registered delegates and will be also available to download through the virtual platform (E-bag).

- **APP**
  - **Android devices**
    Search for “The Event App” by EventsAIR on PlayStore. When you are prompted for a code upon launching the App, insert wcri24
  - **iOS devices**
    Search for “The Event App” by EventsAIR on AppStore. When you are prompted for a code upon launching the App, insert wcri24

**C**

- **CERTIFICATE OF ATTENDANCE**
  A certificate of attendance will be sent to all registered check in participants after the Conference via email.

- **CONGRESS VENUE**
  **MEGARON ATHENS INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE CENTRE (MAICC)**
  Vas. Sofias Ave. & Kokkali St., Athens 115 21, Greece
  T. +30 210 7282000

**ABOUT MAICC**

The MAICC is distinguished as one of the leading and most technologically advanced conference venues in Europe. A building of modern architecture, the MAICC dominates imposingly with its Doric austerity. The venue located on Vasilissis Sofias avenue, in very close distance to the city centre, yet, surrounded by its own landscaped gardens, it offers a soothing environment, beautiful aesthetics, cutting edge technology and unrivalled client service.

Right in the heart of the city, only a few minutes away from Syntagma square, the MAICC is easily accessible by all means of transportation. The Metro station is located a few meters away from the main entrance of the MAICC. It is also very close to major hotels, museums, shops, and fine restaurants, many of which are within walking distance.

The MAICC has had the privilege to host several of the most important congresses in Greece. It was designed and built with great respect to the environment and green urban planning. Furthermore, has been incorporated subtly into the park of the complex.

The technology and stage systems incorporated into the halls are at the forefront of technology, while all sound and audiovisual systems are connected to the in-house broadcast standard recording studio, which enables sound and video recording in broadcast format.

**HOW TO REACH MAICC**

- **From the airport** you can take bus X95, or a 35 minute ride on the Metro will bring you to the venue
- **From the railway station**, it’s just 30 minutes to the «Megaro Moussikis» Metro station
- **From Piraeus** it’s just a few minutes ride on the Metro.
A Cash bar will be operating throughout Congress dates in the Conference venue, in the foyer of Skalkotas (-1 level). Delegates are welcome to purchase food and beverage items, at the respective costs. Kindly note that the cash bar will remain closed during official Coffee and Lunch Breaks, as well as during the Welcome Reception.

An Alpha Bank ATM machine is located inside the MAICC (Main Building entrance). Closest bank is Piraeus Bank (Address: 97, Vassilisis Sofias avenue). Banks in Greece operate daily from 08:00 to 14:00.

A Cloakroom will be operating in the Skalkotas Level -1 of the Conference venue, in close proximity with the Registration Desk. Delegates’ items (such as coats, poster cases, etc.) can be left at the Cloakroom only on a daily basis and during Conference operating hours.

The Greek currency is euro (EUR). Exchange offices and Banks are located all around the city centre.

The electrical power supply voltage in Greece is 220-240 volts (US/Canada 110-120 volts).

– Police: 100
– Fire department: 199
– Medical emergency (ambulance): 166
– European emergency contact number (all above): 112

Free WiFi access will be available in all Congress areas throughout the duration of the WCRI 2024 Conference. Network: WCRI 2024
No password will be required.

The exhibition will run during the following hours

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Monday, 3 June</td>
<td>08:00 – 19:30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tuesday, 4 June</td>
<td>08:00 – 17:30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wednesday, 5 June</td>
<td>08:00 – 17:30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Coffee, tea and Lunch during official breaks is included in the delegate registration fee and will be served in designated catering stations in all Conference areas. Free water suspenders are available for congress participants. Use your bottle provided by the Registration Desk to help us reduce plastic waste.

Free water suspenders are available for congress participants. Use your bottle provided by the Registration Desk to help us reduce plastic waste.
**L**

**LANGUAGE**
English is the official language of the Conference.

**LIABILITY & INSURANCE**
Registration fees do not include participant’s insurance against personal accidents, sickness and cancellation by any party, theft, loss or damage to personal possessions. Participants are requested to make their own arrangements with respect to health and travel insurance. WCRI, NKUA, NTUA and the Congress Organizer CONVIN S.A. accept no liability.

**LOST & FOUND**
A lost and found service is available at the Cloakroom, operating near the Registrations Desk.

**P**

**PARKING**
The Congress venue offers a total of 750 parking spaces in a 3-story underground car-park facility.

**PHOTOS**
Photos of sessions and Conference areas will be taken during the WCRI 2024 Conference. Attendees are advised to contact Registration desk, for any issue or objection with this respect. After the conference participants will have the opportunity to download a selection of the photo’s in high resolution. Additional information and instructions will be sent via email to all registered participants.

**PRESS OFFICE**
Members of Press are welcome to visit the Registration Desk for any information needed.

**REGISTRATION BADGES**
Registration badges will be used during the WCRI 2024 Conference. Participants will receive their badges upon check-in at the Registrations Desk. For identification purposes and admission to scientific sessions, participants are requested to wear their badges at all times. Admission to Conference areas will not be allowed without badge identification.

**REGISTRATION & HOSPITALITY DESK**
The Registration desk and Onsite Secretariat is located in the Skalkotas Foyer (-1 Level) of the Conference Venue.

**OPERATING HOURS:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sunday, 2 June 2024</td>
<td>08:00 – 17:30 (Pre-Workshops)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14:00 – 18:00 (Main Conference)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monday, 3 June 2024</td>
<td>07:30 – 19:30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tuesday, 4 June 2024</td>
<td>08:00 – 17:30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wednesday, 5 June 2024</td>
<td>08:00 – 17:00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SELF CHECK-IN STATIONS**
Conference delegates who do not have outstanding payment may collect their name badges through the Self Check-in stations, located near the Registration Area (Level -1), thus avoiding queues for badge pick up.

**TAXI SERVICES**
Delegates can hire taxis at the taxi station located across the Conference Venue.
● TELECOMMUNICATIONS
There are 3 main GSM operators in Greece: Cosmote, Vodafone and NOVA. The protocols for digital mobile telephone transmissions are based on GSM technology, operating at the frequencies of 900 and 1800 MHz. Please contact your provider for further details.

● TIME ZONE
Athens follows UTC/GMT +3 hours during the spring period.
If you are uncertain regarding your time difference, you may visit either one of the below links to calculate the time difference.
– Link 1: https://www.worldtimebuddy.com/
– Link 2: https://time.is/Athens

● TIPPING
In restaurants, hotels, taxis or other services, tipping is optional, and customized per case, depending on whether you are pleased with the service. Approximately 5% of the bill is a good guideline.

● VIRTUAL PLATFORM
Overcoming the pandemic crisis, we are excited to be able to organize a physical event with interaction and full of networking opportunities in the beautiful and historic capital of Greece, Athens. Thanks to flexible technology provided to us, the Organizers have adapted the new trend in congresses structure: the WCRI2024 will be held in a hybrid format. It will be mainly a Congress with physical attendance; nevertheless, it will also have a strong digital presence with participation of high-level attendees.

Physical participation is encouraged so that this Congress makes a strong statement marking the reopening of faceto-face interaction and networking.
All Congress sessions can be watched live via the WCRI2024 virtual platform, by clicking on the session’s name, where you can find more information and the livestream itself.

– Live Support: A Live Support system will be available for all attendees who will participate in the Conference, in order to resolve any technical issues that may arise and/or provide guidance through the platform.

– Meeting Hub: You will be able to contact all participants and interact with your colleagues through the Meeting hub section.

IMPORTANT NOTE:
WCRI2024 sessions (except Pre-Workshops) will be recorded and made available for postviewing for a period of two (2) months following Conference dates via the Virtual Platform. Additionally, after the period of (2) months all videos will be made publicly available on the WCRI Vimeo channel.

● WEATHER
The weather in Athens in June is sunny and pleasant with average temperature ranging between 20°C (68°F) & 25°C (86°F).
Instructions For Chairs, Speakers and Abstract Presenters

- **Instructions for Chairs**

  - **Guidelines to pre-conference workshop organizers**
    Please see the conference program for the schedule and description of the workshop and contact the presenters and moderators before the conference to align their contributions well. Please arrive at the hall at least 15 minutes before the session starts and ask all presenters and moderators to do the same. Presenters should bring their presentations (MS pptx only) to the room (please note that a speakers’ room is not available on Sunday) and install them on the notebook connected to the projector.
    1. Please stick to the schedule and do not allow presenters to run over time.
    2. It is up to you, as workshop organizer, to make sure your presenters and moderators know in advance how much time they have to speak and how much time you wish to allocate for Q&A and discussion.
    3. Larger halls feature microphones in aisles on stands. Smaller halls feature a single microphone that can be passed around.
    4. AV staff will be on hand to assist with technical queries.

  - **Guidelines for Plenary Session chairs**
    Please see the conference program for the schedule and description of the session and contact the plenary keynote speakers before the conference to align their contributions well.
    1. Please arrive at the hall at least 15 minutes before the session starts and ask before the conference all your three plenary keynote speakers to do the same.
    2. Please stick to the schedule and do not allow presenters to run over time. With the exception of the Opening & Closing Plenaries and the Steneck-Mayer lecture, each keynote speaker has been allocated 30 minutes in total (maximally 25 minutes for presentation and minimally 5 minutes for Q & A).
    3. Plenary halls feature microphones in aisles on stands. Please note that everyone should speak on the microphone, because the virtual attendees will not be able to hear them otherwise. Ask participants to be brief and to ask one clear question without a lengthy introduction.
    4. During the session, you will have a screen in front of you, where written questions sent from the virtual platform or the mobile app will be displayed. Please note that depending on the network speed of the viewer, a question may arrive with a delay of up to 30 seconds. It will be up to you to choose which questions (if any) you would like to address to the speaker.
    5. AV staff will be on hand to assist with technical queries.

  - **Guidelines for Chairs of Oral Presentation Sessions (Parallel sessions)**
    Please see the conference program for the schedule of the session and read the abstracts in the abstract book which you will receive soon in PDF form.
    1. Please arrive at the hall at least 15 minutes before the session starts.
    2. Please stick to the schedule and do not allow presenters to run over time. Each presentation has been allocated 15 minutes in total (maximally 10 minutes for presentation and minimally 5 minutes for Q & A). If you need to interrupt a virtual presenter, simply speak on your microphone.
    3. Larger halls feature microphones in aisles on stands. Smaller halls feature a single microphone that can be passed around. Please note that everyone should speak on the microphone even in smaller halls, because the virtual attendees will not be able to hear them otherwise. Ask participants to be brief and to ask one clear question without a lengthy introduction.
    4. During the session, all chairs will have a screen in front of them, where written questions sent from the virtual platform or the mobile app will be displayed. Please note that depending on the network speed of the viewer, a question may arrive with a delay of up to 30 seconds. It will be up to you to choose which (if any) questions you would like to address to the speaker.
    5. AV staff will make sure that virtual presenters are displayed on the screen, can be heard clearly in the room and can hear what is said. They also will be on hand to assist with technical queries.

  - **Guidelines for Symposium Organizers**
    Please see the conference program for the schedule and description of the session and contact the presenters and eventual moderators before the conference to align their contributions well.
    1. Please arrive at the hall at least 15 minutes before the session starts and ask before the conference all presenters and moderators to do the same.
    2. Please stick to the schedule and do not allow presenters to run over time.
Instructions for Chairs, Speakers and Abstract Presenters

3. It is up to you as symposium coordinator or Chair to make sure your presenters know in advance how much time they have for their presentation and how much time you wish to allocate for Q&A and discussion.

4. Larger halls feature microphones in aisles on stands. Smaller halls feature a single microphone that can be passed around. Please note that everyone should speak on the microphone even in smaller halls, because the virtual attendees will not be able to hear them otherwise.

5. During the session, all chairs will have a screen in front of them, where written questions sent from the virtual platform or the mobile app will be displayed. Please note that depending on the network speed of the viewer, a question may arrive with a delay of up to 30 seconds. It will be up to you to choose which questions (if any) you would like to address to the speaker.

6. AV staff will make sure that eventual virtual presenters are displayed on the screen, can be heard clearly in the room, and can hear what is said. They also will be on hand to assist with technical queries.

Instructions for Poster Presenters

Posters should be mounted on the designated poster board in the poster area at the designated time. Maximum size of the poster is size A0 in portrait format (84.1 cm width x 118.9 cm height). Presenters are reminded to bring their printed posters to the conference venue. No printing service will be provided on site. The conference staff will provide materials for fixing posters to boards.

Authors should also prepare an electronic file of their poster in a vertical orientation and submit it via a personalised link that will be sent to them. The e-Poster files should be in PDF format and have a maximum size of 8 MB. The PDF files should display the posters as if those were printed for in-person presentation. We suggest using:

- Relatively large fonts
- Minimum resolution of 150 dpi

Note from the Poster & Award Committee
The language of the conference is English and no simultaneous translation services will be provided. Presenters will have two minutes to present their poster in English. We encourage you to practice your presentation several times and time it. If English is not your native language, as you prepare it could be useful to ask a native or fluent English speaker to provide feedback and help you articulate your key points effectively within 3 minutes.
Social Events

- **Welcome Reception @ MAICC**
  
  **When:** Sunday, 2 June 2024 at 17:30 – 19:00  
  **Where:** Conference Venue (MAICC), Trianti Hall  
  **What:** Opportunity to network with friends in a casual welcoming atmosphere with subtle local cultural references, and relaxing music.  
  **Who:** All registered attendees (included in the registration fee)

- **Conference Dinner @ Gazarte**
  
  **When:** Tuesday, 4 June, 2024 at 20:00  
  **Where:** Gazarte (34 Voutadon str. GR11854, Athens)  
  Gazarte is just a 1-minute walk from Keramikos metro station. You can reach the venue taking the metro line 3 from Megaron Athens International Conference Center, station “Megaron Mousikis” (direction to Dimotiko Theatro Pirea).  
  **Who:** Not included in the registration fee. Tickets can be purchased at a fee of 120 EUR/ per person (if available)  
  **What:** Buffet dinner with colleagues in a relaxing atmosphere overlooking an oasis in the center of the city  
  **Important Note:** Delegates already purchase conference dinner, are kindly advised to contact the Registration Desk to pick up a metro ticket
● Poster Awards
Posters will be nominated for awards based on submitted abstracts. At least one evaluator will observe and score the presentation for each of the nominated posters during the Poster Walks. Awards will be given to the nominated poster presentations with the highest combined mean scores.

Three Poster Awards will be granted for early career professionals (<5 years experience in research integrity). Only posters where the author who submitted the poster makes a presentation during scheduled Poster Walk Sessions will qualify for awards. In addition to these two requirements, awards will be based on the following criteria (scale of 1-5 for each criterion):
- Academic Quality – Originality, Methodology, Consideration of pertinent literature, Relevance to the conference theme, and Contribution to advancing the field.
- Presentation skill – Areas to consider include: Clarity of 3-minute presentation, Demonstration of knowledge and proficiency in answering questions during the Q&A discussion

Poster Walk Moderators will tour posters for Poster Walk Sessions. Poster Walk Moderators will be drawn from the Poster Committee and other WCRI committees, as well as previous poster award recipients.

● Oral Presentation Awards
Three Oral Presentation Awards will be granted for early career professionals (<5 years experience in research integrity) presenting in-person only (not virtual presentations). Awards will be based on the following criteria:
- Academic content/significance – Areas to consider include: Clarity of research question and hypothesis (for hypothesis-driven research), Suitability of methodological design; Interpretation of results and conclusions.
- Quality of presentation – Areas to consider include: Verbal communication skills; Knowledge of the subject; Visual effectiveness of the presentation; Response to questions.

● The Anderson-Kleinert Diversity Awards
The Anderson-Kleinert Diversity Award highlights oral presentations that represent and enhance diversity of voice, ideas, or experience. These awards are intended to recognize:
- People from countries, research sectors, communities or other groups not often represented at the World Conferences;
- Creative ideas and new ways of promoting research integrity, or
- Experience with research integrity issues in contexts that call for novel approaches or perspectives.

For more detail, please visit: https://wcrif.org/foundation/anderson-kleinert-diversity-award

● Excellence in Doctoral Research Awards
On the recommendation of the Doctoral Forum panel, an Excellence in Doctoral Research Award will be granted to the best doctoral projects. Applications will be limited to doctoral students officially enrolled in a graduate program.

Based on the quality and relevancy of the research project, the presentation of the project by the PhD, and the contribution made to the Doctoral Forum, the expert panel will decide on two Awards for Excellence in Doctoral Research that will be presented during one of the plenary sessions.

The WCRI Poster & Award Committee will only award one award per awardee, across each of the award categories.
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H.F.R.I.

Hellenic Foundation for Research & Innovation

TRICKY GOOSE TRAINING

OpenAIRE

● Supporters ●

HEAL LINK
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National Environment and Climate Change Agency
The Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) is the central self-governing research funding organisation in Germany. The DFG serves the sciences and humanities and promotes research of the highest quality in all its forms and disciplines at universities and non-university research institutions. The focus is on funding projects developed by the academic community itself in the area of knowledge-driven research.

The DFG funds research projects, creates competitive opportunities and conducts procedures for the review, evaluation, selection and decision of research proposals. It helps shape the overall conditions and standards of academic research. The DFG maintains dialogue with society, politics and business and supports the transfer of knowledge. It advises state institutions and institutions working in the public interest on issues relating to academic research and research policy.

Moreover, the DFG takes particular care to promote international cooperation, early career researchers, gender equality and diversity in science and the humanities.

We invest in researchers and their ideas

The Swiss National Science Foundation (SNSF) funds excellent research at universities and other institutions — from chemistry to medicine to sociology. Thousands of teams are generating knowledge for a better future for all. Together with our partners, we play a key role in shaping research in Switzerland.

Founded in 1876 and chartered by the U.S. Congress, we are one of the world’s largest scientific organizations with more than 200,000 individuals in our global community across 140 countries. Our mission is to advance the broader chemistry enterprise and its practitioners for the benefit of Earth and all its people. Our vision is to improve all people’s lives through the transforming power of chemistry.
Clarivate™ is a leading global provider of transformative intelligence. We offer enriched data, insights & analytics, workflow solutions and expert services in the areas of Academia & Government, Intellectual Property and Life Sciences & Healthcare. We bring together enriched data, insights, analytics and workflow solutions, grounded in deep domain expertise across the spectrum of knowledge, research and innovation. Whether it’s providing insights to transform the water industry or accelerating the delivery of a critical vaccine, our aim is to fuel the world’s greatest breakthroughs by harnessing the power of human ingenuity.

Cochrane is an international network with headquarters in the UK, a registered not-for-profit organization, and a member of the UK National Council for Voluntary Organizations. Cochrane is for anyone interested in using high-quality information to make health decisions. Whether you are a clinician, patient or carer, researcher, or policy-maker, Cochrane evidence provides a powerful tool to enhance your healthcare knowledge and decision-making. Cochrane’s members and supporters come from more than 190 countries, worldwide. We are researchers, health professionals, patients, carers, and people passionate about improving health outcomes for everyone, everywhere. Our global independent network gathers and summarizes the best evidence from research to help you make informed choices about treatment and we have been doing this for 30 years.

Digital Science is a technology company working to make research more efficient. We invest in, nurture and support innovative businesses and technologies that make all parts of the research process more open and effective. We believe that together, we can help researchers make a difference.

Elsevier’s mission is to help research and healthcare professionals advance science and improve health outcomes by combining quality information and data sets with analytical tools to facilitate insights and critical decisions. We help researchers share knowledge, collaborate and make discoveries and ensure that quality research can be accessed, trusted, shared and built upon to accelerate progress in society. We work to ensure information is validated and discoverable so that it can make a difference. For more information, please visit us at www.elsevier.com
Sponsors & Exhibitors Company Profiles

● Bronze Sponsors ●

**EMBO**
www.embo.org

EMBO is an organization of more than 2000 leading researchers that promotes excellence in the life sciences in Europe and beyond. The major goals of the organization are to support talented researchers at all stages of their careers, stimulate the exchange of scientific information, and help build a research environment where scientists can achieve their best work.

**Epigeum, a Sage Resource**
https://www.epigeum.com/courses/research/

Epigeum, part of Sage Publishing, is a leading provider of online training courses that support the needs of higher education institutions in three core areas: Research, Support & Wellbeing and Studying. Our Research programmes support academics throughout all stages of their career and are flexible enough to fit around a busy schedule. Developed in collaboration with leading experts, the programmes reflect key issues in research practice, build vital knowledge and skills, and encourage researchers to reflect on their practices and opportunities for development.

**IOP Publishing**
https://ioppublishing.org/

IOP Publishing is a society-owned scientific publisher, providing impact, recognition and value for the scientific community. IOP Publishing’s portfolio includes more than 90 journals, around half of which are published jointly with or on behalf of partner societies and research organisations. Our publishing portfolio reflects the growth of scientific research in core scientific fields, while recognising the increasingly interdisciplinary nature of scientific research.

**Karger**
www.karger.com

Connecting people and science since 1890, Karger provides scientists, healthcare professionals, patients, and the broader public with reliable and tailored information in Health Sciences. Building upon a publishing portfolio of more than 100 reputable scholarly journals and the award-winning Fast Facts medical info series, Karger excels in medical education and omnichannel HCP engagement in multiple formats, including 3D animations, podcasts, AR/VR environments, and more. Academic institutions and both medical affairs and pharma marketing teams in the corporate space entrust Karger with their most demanding communication challenges. Independent and family-led in the fourth generation by Chairwoman Gabriella Karger, Switzerland-based Karger is present in 15 countries with a team of 200 dedicated professionals worldwide.

**Proofig**
https://www.proofig.com/

Proofig harnesses advanced AI and computer vision techniques to serve as scientific image integrity tool. Our platform automatically detects integrity issues in life science images, ensuring publication quality and reducing the risk of image plagiarism and retractions.
Science Europe is the association representing 41 public research funding and performing organisations across 30 European countries. It brings together the expertise of its members to push the frontiers of how research is produced and delivers benefits to all. It advocates for a strong European Research Area and contributes to shaping the global scientific agenda.

Springer Nature is a global publisher of research and education content, responsible for brands such as research journal Nature, Macmillan Education and Scientific American. Across these titles and more, they publish over 410,000 research articles and 13,000 books per year. Their content platforms receive over 2.5 million visits a day. Their mission is to open doors to discovery for all, enabling millions of researchers, educators, clinicians and other professionals to access, trust and make sense of the latest insights to together improve and enrich lives, and help to protect our planet for future generations.

Tricky Goose designs training courses that promote research integrity. Our courses are concise. We design for researchers. We value their time. We start from the assumption that our learners are smart, busy people. Our courses are light on administration, but rich in multimedia and literature. We make responsibilities clear. We ask open-ended questions worthy of scholarly consideration and provide engaging resources to take away and use. Tricky Goose helps institutions promote compliance, but our courses are not about compliance. We’re more scholarly, and frankly, more fun. Make our courses your own. They’re highly customisable to suit your region and institution.

Wellcome is a global charitable foundation established in 1936. Through our work we support science to solve the urgent health issues facing everyone.

ZonMw - Netherlands Organisation for Health Research and Development Working with knowledge to boost good health for all: that is what ZonMw is about. That is the mission which we have been pursuing for the past decades.
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**BOOTH**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1</th>
<th>H.F.R.I.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Tricky Goose Training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Epigeum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>OpenAIRE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Coffee & Lunch Area B**
A VISION FOR TRANSFORMATION

We unite organizations in the spirit of integrity, amplify our collective impact, and guide researchers toward ethical and responsible research practices.

- Newsletter
- Learning Essentials
- Community Forum
- Metascience insights
- Directory of Entities
- Customized Services

www.sci-integrity.com

Making Cell & Gene Therapies Available for ALL
www.wcri2024.org